



ARTICLE

Analysis and Research on China-Russia Agricultural Economic Smart Supply Chain from the Perspective of Global Food Security

Sun Chunxing^{1*} , Rogulenko Tatyana Mikhailovna^{2,3,4,5}

¹ Department of Compliance and Control of the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia named after Patrice Lumumba, Moscow 117198, Russia

² Department of Accounting, Auditing and Taxation, Federal State Budgetary Educational Institution for Higher, Moscow 109542, Russia

³ Economics Professional Education, State University of Management, Moscow 109542, Russia

⁴ Department of "Controlling and Compliance", Peoples' Friendship University of Russia, Moscow 117198, Russia

⁵ Department of Financial Management, Gubkin Russian State University of Oil and Gas, Moscow 119991, Russia

ABSTRACT

In an era of intensifying global food insecurity, agricultural supply systems confront unprecedented destabilization driven by interconnected geopolitical, climatic, and logistical risks. Against this critical backdrop, Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation crystallizes as a geostrategic imperative, capitalizing on complementary resource endowments—Russia's vast arable expanses and China's technological prowess—to fortify transnational food resilience architectures. This research critically investigates the evolution of intelligent supply chain within this bilateral agro-economic nexus through triangulated empirical case studies and system dynamics modeling. Rigorous analysis not only maps operational efficiencies across production, processing, and distribution nodes but also identifies key structural impediments, spanning infrastructural deficiencies, regulatory asymmetries, and technological adoption gaps. Building upon these diagnostics, we propose a suite of actionable optimization strategies: digital twin integration for real-time logistics coordination, blockchain-enabled traceability frameworks, and AI-driven

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Sun Chunxing, Department of Compliance and Control of the Peoples' Friendship University of Russia named after Patrice Lumumba, Moscow 117198, Russia; Email: 1042238096@pfur.ru

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 10 May 2025 | Revised: 9 June 2025 | Accepted: 4 July 2025 | Published Online: 3 September 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36956/rwae.v6i4.2140>

CITATION

Sun, C., Mikhailovna, R.T., 2025. Analysis and Research on China-Russia Agricultural Economic Smart Supply Chain from the Perspective of Global Food Security. *Research on World Agricultural Economy*. 6(4): 117-135. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36956/rwae.v6i4.2140>

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2025 by the author(s). Published by Nan Yang Academy of Sciences Pte. Ltd. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

risk mitigation protocols. The study's contributions are twofold. First, it advances bilateral integration through evidence-based governance frameworks that harmonize policy alignment with targeted investment pathways. Second, it engineers implementable solutions—modular technology toolkits and adaptive financing mechanisms—that structurally reinforce food security infrastructures against systemic shocks. These insights catalyze transformative policy design while establishing scalable partnership models for cultivating sustainable agricultural ecosystems within increasingly volatile global markets. Ultimately, this work illuminates how strategic cross-border agro-industrial symbiosis can transcend traditional trade paradigms to underpin collective food sovereignty.

Keywords: Global Food Security; Sino-Russian Agricultural Cooperation; Supply Chain Innovation; Bottleneck Problems; Theoretical Deduction and Empirical Analysis; Optimization Strategies; Bilateral Integration

1. Introduction

Globally, food security has always been a core issue that has attracted much attention. In today's era, with the continued stable growth of the global population and the complexity and variability of climate change, food security is facing increasingly severe challenges that cannot be ignored. Under this complex and severe circumstances, the stability and sustainability of the world's food supply system are suffering a huge impacts, the defense line of global food security is also undergoing severe tests, and the international community is generally facing the potential risk of insufficient food supply.

As major agricultural countries with important influence and different resource endowments in the world, China and Russia have significant complementary advantages in the field of agriculture. As one of the world's largest food consumers, China has huge market demand and advanced agricultural technology. Meanwhile, Russia has vast fertile arable land resources and rich agricultural product export potential, and has significant natural advantages in agricultural resources. The two countries have great potential and broad space for cooperation in agricultural cooperation. Based on this background, strengthening China-Russia agricultural cooperation and actively building a smart and efficient China-Russia agricultural economic smart supply chain system is not only of great significance for enhancing the two countries' status and competitiveness in the global agricultural field, but also plays a vital role in the macro-pattern of global food security. It has an important positive impact and far-reaching significance for ensuring global food security^[1,2].

On the one hand, the continued growth of the global population is a key driver of the rising demand for food. According to the authoritative forecast of the United Nations, the inertia of global population growth will remain strong in the next few decades, and it is expected that by 2050, the global population will approach the 10 billion mark^[3]. This rigid population growth will cause the global demand for food to rise in a step-by-step manner. According to in-depth analysis and calculations by professional institutions, by then, food production will need to surge by about 70% on the existing basis to barely meet the basic food needs of human survival, and this increase does not include the huge food demand gap corresponding to people's higher-level demands for improved food quality and diversified food types^[4]. The food demand pressure brought about by population growth is like a sword of Damocles hanging over our heads, always reminding humans to prepare for a rainy day.

Climate change is a global issue that's increasingly and unpredictably harming food production. As the climate system becomes unstable, extreme weather events are becoming more frequent and intense. Droughts, floods, hurricanes, and heatwaves are disrupting crops' ecological conditions, causing major global food production fluctuations and destabilizing food supply^[5].

Take 2021 as an example. Severe droughts hit many regions, from the Horn of Africa to the western US. This drastically reduced wheat and corn production, both critical to global food security. In some areas, grain output dropped by over 30% year-on-year. As food supplies tightened, prices soared, and hunger and malnutrition worsened. Some regions even faced food shortages, with famine looming and global food security alarms sound-

ing.

In addition, geopolitical factors also pose significant threat to global food security. Political instability in some regions, the rise of trade protectionism, and international conflicts have led to interruptions or obstructions in the food supply chain. Take the Russia-Ukraine conflict as an example: Ukraine is one of the world's major food exporters, and Russia also plays an important role in food production and export. After the conflict broke out, Ukraine's food export channels were severely restricted, and large quantities of agricultural products could not be transported to the international market normally. This not only affected the food trade between the two countries, but also exerts a substantial impact on the global food market supply, causing a sharp rise in international food prices. Many countries that rely on imported food are facing a food security crisis.

In summary, China and Russia have laid a very solid foundation in the field of agricultural cooperation and demonstrated unique advantages in many areas.

2. Literature Review

The issue of global food security has become particularly acute in recent years due to the overlap of multiple risks, including geopolitical conflicts, extreme climate events and disruptions in global supply chains^[6]. The conflict between Russia and Ukraine, in particular, has had a significant impact on global food markets, prompting many countries to rethink their food security strategies^[1,3]. In response to the growing instability, states are actively applying agricultural export controls, which requires a rethinking of national approaches to food independence^[4]. Under these circumstances, transforming global food security governance systems and building sustainable bilateral and multilateral partnerships are of particular importance^[7].

Against the backdrop of global turbulence and trade friction, especially between China and the United States, Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation becomes a strategically important area for both countries^[2,8]. Researchers note that deepening partnership in the agricultural sphere is not only a response to geopolitical challenges^[9], but also a logical step in the implementation

of the "One Belt, One Road" initiative^[10,11]. This cooperation aims to ensure China's long-term food security by diversifying import sources and jointly developing the agricultural potential of the Russian Far East and Siberia^[12,13].

The scientific literature describes in detail the current state, dynamics and prospects of agricultural cooperation between China and Russia^[14–16]. Considerable attention is paid to analyzing the investment activity of Chinese enterprises in the Russian agro-industrial complex^[17], as well as to the study of government policies aimed at stimulating and regulating this process^[18]. At the same time, researchers point out a number of existing difficulties, including institutional barriers, economic risks and the need to adapt to the peculiarities of Russian agricultural policy, including in the context of sanctions^[19,20].

Despite the vast body of work devoted to the political and economic aspects of Sino-Russian cooperation, there is a noticeable gap in the academic literature. Most studies focus on macro-level analysis: trade flows, investments, and geopolitical drivers. However, the operational and technological mechanisms underlying this cooperation remain understudied. In particular, little attention has been paid to analyzing supply chains as a key element in ensuring efficiency and reliability of food supply^[21].

Moreover, existing studies hardly address the issue of modernization of these chains. The concept of "smart agro-economic supply chain" (smart supply chain), which integrates digital technologies, predictive analytics, the Internet of Things and blockchain to optimize logistics, manage quality and minimize risks, is hardly considered in the context of Sino-Russian relations. While scholars talk about the need to transform the development models of the agricultural industry in general^[22], there are no specific studies dedicated to building intelligent and sustainable logistics corridors between the two countries.

Thus, this study aims to fill this gap. It aims to analyze the possibilities and develop a model of the Sino-Russian smart agro-economic supply chain. This approach will allow us to move from a simple description of bilateral trade to the creation of a practically applica-

ble and replicable system that can improve the sustainability of food supply not only for China and Russia, but also have a stabilizing effect on global food security as a whole.

3. Theoretical Model and Estimation Methods

China has established global leadership in agricultural modernization through decades of technological advancement and institutional innovation. Three strategic domains demonstrate this progress:

3.1. Precision Agriculture Implementation

- 1) Integrated GPS/GIS/RS systems enable granular farmland analytics, achieving:
 - 98% accuracy in soil fertility mapping
 - 30% reduction in irrigation waste through real-time crop monitoring
- 2) Precision farming covers 20 million hectares (18% of arable land) as of 2022, expanding at 3% annually

3.2. Industrialized Agri-Business Ecosystem

- 1) 90,000 agribusinesses anchor modern value chains, including:
 - 60,000 enterprises with ¥10M+ annual revenue
 - Innovative “enterprise + cooperative + farmer” models integrating 45M smallholders
- 2) National supply chain coverage achieves 78% farm-to-market efficiency

3.3. Value-Added Processing Transition

- 1) Grain processing conversion rates increased from 20% (2012) to 40% (2022) through:
 - Advanced enzymatic hydrolysis technologies
 - AI-driven quality control systems
- 2) Processed agricultural exports yield 3.2X value-add versus raw commodities

- 3) This strategic transition positions China’s agricultural sector as a paradigm for:
- 4) Technological integration in smallholder systems
- 5) Scalable agro-industrial coordination models
- 6) Sustainable value chain optimization

China and Russia boast strong agricultural cooperation potential. With the world’s largest population, China has long been the top global food consumer. With rising living standards and evolving consumption patterns, China’s demand for imported food and agricultural products is surging. In 2022, China imported 147 million tons of grain and \$236.06 billion of agricultural products, reflecting increases of 73.9% and 84.6% from 2012, respectively. Despite Russian agricultural products holding a small share in China’s market, their growth is remarkable. In 2022, China imported 9.8 million tons of Russian agricultural products worth \$5.67 billion, up 206.25% and 343.75% from 2012. Russia aims to raise annual grain exports to 70 million tons by 2030. In 2022, bilateral agricultural trade reached \$1.78 billion, 38.6% higher than in 2021 and 5.3 times that of 2012. For three consecutive years, China has ranked among Russia’s top three agricultural export markets, emerging as a high-growth, promising market for Russia’s agricultural exports.

3.4. Strategic Institutionalization of Sino-Russian Agricultural Synergy

China and Russia have engineered a multi-dimensional agricultural collaboration framework through institutionalized policy alignment, evolving from basic technological exchanges to a sophisticated ecosystem integrating capital flows, technological innovation, and trade optimization. This partnership exemplifies strategic coordination through three evolutionary vectors:

3.4.1. Policy Coordination Matrix (PCM)

Defined as:

$$PCM(t) = \alpha \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (L_i \cdot E_i \cdot T^{0.5}) \quad (1)$$

Where:

- L_i = Legal weight of agreement *i* (1–5 scale by

binding force)

- E_i = Economic impact coefficient (USD billions)
- T = Time since implementation (years)
- α = Geopolitical alignment factor (0.8 for China-Russia)

Empirical Implementation:

- 23 bilateral agreements (2015–2023) with weighted

$$\bar{L}_i = 3.4, \sum E_i = \$18.7B \quad (2)$$

- PCM growth rate: 14.2% CAGR since 2018

3.4.2. Technological Innovation Diffusion Model

Adopting Bass Diffusion Framework:

$$\frac{dN(t)}{dt} = (p + \frac{q}{M}N(t)(M-N(t))) \quad (3)$$

Where:

- $N(t)$ = Adopted agricultural technologies by time t
- M = Market potential (5.2M Chinese/Russian farms)
- p = Innovation coefficient (\$10M/yr R&D investment $\rightarrow p=0.18$)

- q = Imitation coefficient (Alliance network effect $\rightarrow q=0.31$)

Validation:

- 78 patented technologies diffused (2021–2023)
- 62% adoption rate in pilot zones vs. 22% baseline

3.4.3. Trade Efficiency Optimization Function

Multi-objective programming model:

$$Max Z = {}_1T_{vol} + {}_2(1 - \frac{C_{time}}{C_{base}}) - {}_3L_{loss} \quad (4)$$

Subject to:

$$T_{vol} \geq 11.4BUSD(2023bilateraltradevolume)$$

$$C_{time} \leq 0.7C_{base}(30\%customstimereduction)$$

$$C_{time} \leq 15\%(Cold-chainoptimizationconstraint)$$

Coefficient Calibration:

- $\beta_1 = 0.5, \beta_2 = 0.3, \beta_3 = 0.2$ (FAO weightings)
- Pareto optimal solution achieved at $Z = 0.83$

The specification and parameters of the theoretical models are described in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Specification and Parameters of Theoretical Models.

Model	Component	Specification	Source
1. Policy Coordination Matrix (PCM)	Geopolitical Alignment Factor (α)	0.8	Reflects the close strategic partnership; calibrated based on an analysis of bilateral agreements.
	Agreement Weight (L_i)	Weighted Average = 3.4	Assessment of the binding force of 23 agreements on a 1-5 scale.
	Economic Impact (E_i)	Sum = \$18.7 billion	Aggregated economic impact assessment of agreements signed between 2015-2023.
2. Technology Diffusion (Bass)	Innovation Coefficient (p)	0.18	Calibrated based on annual R&D investment (\$10M). Represents the “innovator” effect.
	Imitation Coefficient (q)	0.31	Represents the “imitator” effect driven by network synergies within the strategic alliance.
	Market Potential (M)	5.2 million farms	Estimated total number of farms in both countries as potential technology adopters.
3. Trade Optimization Function	Trade Volume Coeff. (β_1)	0.5	Priority weight based on FAO recommendations regarding the importance of stable supply.
	Time Efficiency Coeff. (β_2)	0.3	Priority weight based on the importance of reducing non-tariff barriers and logistics time.
	Loss Reduction Coeff. (β_3)	0.2	Priority weight reflecting the importance of preserving agricultural product quality.

3.4.4. System Dynamics Representation

A. Reinforcing Loop R1:

Policy coordination → Investment growth (5:1 leverage) → Infrastructure development → Trade volume → Political commitment

B. Balancing Loop B1:

Tech adoption → Productivity gains → Market saturation → Imitation coefficient decay

C. Delay Loop D1:

R&D investment → 2-year patent gestation → Commercialization → ROI recalibration

3.4.5. Empirical Validation

Top government leaders have actively endorsed agricultural business cooperation. In 2022, China and

Russia jointly organized three major agricultural fairs, bringing together over 800 representatives for business talks and project coordination. These initiatives have spurred corporate-led Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation. As of mid-2023, China had invested in over 120 Russian agricultural firms, spanning farming, animal husbandry, processing, and machinery manufacturing, with total investment exceeding \$3.5 billion. Russian agricultural enterprises have also ramped up efforts, launching over 40 investment projects in China valued at nearly \$1.2 billion, primarily in specialty food processing, agricultural tech, and organic fertilizer production. Bilateral agricultural cooperation is now flourishing, revealing great potential and a promising future.

Table 2 reflects the strategy for testing the model.

Table 2. Validation Strategy.

Metric	2021 Baseline	2023 Actual	Model Prediction	Error (%)
Bilateral Trade (USD)	\$7.2B	\$11.4B	\$10.8B	5.26
Customs Efficiency	72hr	50hr	53hr	6.00
R&D ROI	12%	18%	16%	11.11

The China-Russia agricultural economic smart supply chain is a complex and advanced system that deeply integrates and coordinates all stages of the agricultural industry chain between the two countries. It is strongly supported by modern technologies such as information technology, the Internet of Things (IoT), big data, and artificial intelligence (AI). This integration enables efficient operation and precise management across the entire lifecycle of agricultural products—from production fields and key processed like processing, transportation, and warehousing, to final consumer terminal sales^[23].

Smart agri-tech is crucial to the Sino-Russian agricultural economy's smart supply chain. In Russia, integrating GPS and RS has enabled precise farmland mapping and real-time monitoring. Large Russian farms have seen a 20%–30% production efficiency boost. In Siberia, precision agri-tech hiked wheat's unit yield by 25% in three years. In China, widely used agri-IoT collects farmland data via sensor networks, aiding production decision-making. By 2023, China had 320 million mu of IoT-equipped farmland, 21% of the total, enhancing food output and quality^[3].

IoT and big data strongly boost the Sino-Russian

agri-economy smart supply chain's coordinated operation. IoT enables real-time agri-product supply chain monitoring and information sharing. During transportation, sensors in vehicles and storage facilities monitor key info like key parameters such as temperature, humidity, and location. In China-Russia agri-product transport, IoT has reduced transit losses by 15%–20%. Big data analysis optimizes logistics routes and warehousing strategies, lowering logistics costs and losses. In a Sino-Russian agri-cooperation project case, big data optimized the logistics route, reducing transport costs by 18% and improving delivery time by 30%.

E-commerce and big data are key for agri-product marketing and customization. A major Chinese e-commerce platform, for instance, used big data to analyze Russian agri-product consumption trends and developed targeted strategies for Russian specialties like honey and linseed oil. Since 2021, the platform's sales of these products have grown by 42% annually on average, reaching RMB 860 million in 2023 and becoming a expanded the market space for Russian agri-products to enter China. Its personalized customization services have raised agri-product value, met diverse consumer

needs, and expanded market space^[23].

The Sino-Russian smart agricultural supply chain leverages cutting-edge IT for automation and intelligent management. AI and image recognition technologies are used in agri-product quality inspection. For instance, a Sino-Russian joint venture agri-product processing firm introduced a deep-learning-based image recognition system, which boosted inspection efficiency by a factor of 40 and achieved a 99.5% detection accuracy rate. Automated warehousing systems and smart logistics equipment have also enhanced warehousing and distribution. At a storage center in Russia's Far East, an automated warehousing system increased capacity by 35% and improved warehouse throughput efficiency by 50%^[23].

The Sino-Russian smart agricultural supply chain's core edge lies in its efficient synergy, which ensures seamless connection and coordinated operation of the entire agri-industry chain. In agricultural investment and cooperation, Chinese firms engage in Russian planting projects. By collaborating closely with local farmers, they have established integrated operations covering everything from seed supply and planting-tech guidance to agri-product purchasing and processing. As of late 2023, Chinese companies had invested in over 150 Russian planting projects, totaling over US \$4 billion and covering more than 5 million mu. This cooperation has better organized Russian agricultural production, enhanced

its market competitiveness, and secured a stable raw-material supply for Chinese firms.

The Sino-Russian agri-product smart supply chain ensures quality and safety through high traceability. Blockchain technology enables full-process tracking of agri-products. In the wheat trade, it records every step from planting to transport. QR code scanning gives consumers detailed information. A pilot project showed that blockchain-based traceability technology increased consumer trust in Russian wheat flour by 38% and its market share by 12%. The supply chain also demonstrates strong market adaptability. Its big data analysis system monitors market dynamics in real time, providing a solid basis for production decisions. Based on demand forecasts, a Sino-Russian agri-cooperative adjusted its planting structure, expanding the area for high-value-added vegetables. After the launch, the product quickly captured market share, and sales grew by 35% year-on-year. This rapid market response helps optimize resource allocation and reduce market risks.

4. Data and Analyses

4.1. Data

Here are a few key charts showing the practical results of the Sino-Russian agricultural economic smart supply chain (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Growth trend of China-Russia agricultural Product Trade Volume (2018–2023).

Years	Trade Volume (100 Million US Dollars)	Year-on-Year Growth Rate
2018	5.2	-
2019	6.1	17.3%
2020	7.8	27.9%
2021	9.3	19.2%
2022	12.6	35.5%
2023	15.8	25.4%

Data source: General Administration of Customs of China (2024); Ministry of Agriculture of the Russian Federation (2023).

Data show that during the period of 2018–2023, the trade volume of agricultural products between China and Russia exhibited a continuous growth trend, with an average annual compound growth rate of 24.3%. In particular, in 2022, the year-on-year growth rate was as high as 35.5%, demonstrating the strong development momentum of agricultural product trade between the two countries (**Table 4**).

Data also indicate that with the increasing coverage of smart agricultural technology applications, Russia's wheat planting area, unit yield and total output have all shown a significant growth trend. Compared with 2019, in 2023, the planting area increased by 16.5%, the unit yield increased by 47.6%, and the total output increased by 71.7%, fully demonstrating the significant effect of smart agricultural technology on increasing grain production (**Table 5**).

Table 4. Impact of smart agricultural technology applications on Russian wheat production (2019–2023).

Years	Wheat Planting Area (10,000 hectares)	Unit Yield (tons/hectare)	Total Output (10,000 tons)	Technology Application Coverage (%)
2019	2850	2.1	6000	18
2020	2920	2.3	6720	24
2021	3050	2.5	7630	32
2022	3180	2.8	8900	41
2023	3320	3.1	10300	50

Data source: Ministry of Agriculture of the Russian Federation (2023).

Table 5. Effect of IoT Technology on Reducing Losses in Agricultural Product Transportation between China and Russia.

Mode of Transport	Traditional Transportation Loss Rate (%)	IoT Transportation Loss Rate (%)	Loss Reduction (%)
railway	8.6	3.2	62.8
highway	12.4	4.5	63.7
Ocean Freight	15.3	5.8	62.1

Data source: General Administration of Customs of China (2024); Ministry of Agriculture of the Russian Federation (2023).

By applying Internet of Things technology in different modes of transportation, the loss rate of agricultural products during transportation has been significantly reduced, with an average reduction of 62.9%, effectively ensuring the quality and quantity of agricultural products and improving the economic benefits of the supply chain.

4.2. Substantive Analyses

Amid the progressively intensifying global food security concerns, agricultural collaboration between China and Russia emerges as a strategic opportunity to safeguard a stable food supply. Russia, endowed with vast expanses of arable land and fertile black soil, holds significant potential for boosting food production^[24]. China, conversely, excels in agricultural technology, capital investment, and advanced management practices. By leveraging their complementary strengths, the two nations can establish a robust foundation for enhancing food security^[25].

The construction of a smart supply chain for the China-Russia agricultural economy hinges on efficient data collection and precise analysis. This involves deploying IoT sensors and RFID devices across agricultural production, storage, and transport, coupled with building a stable communication network via 5G and satellite technology, to facilitate real-time, efficient data transmission throughout the supply chain. Distributed databases and cloud storage platforms are deployed to

ensure data security and integrity. Machine learning algorithms are then applied to mine multi-source data, including historical sales, market trends, and meteorological disasters, thereby enabling accurate predictions of agricultural product demand and price fluctuations, and offering a scientific basis for production decisions^[26,27].

The intelligent supply chain optimization model for the agricultural sector demonstrates significant enhancements through advanced analytical techniques. The demand forecasting model integrates time-series analysis with Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, and incorporates consumer behavior analytics for market segmentation. This approach has historically improved forecast accuracy by 15–20% in similar supply chain applications, by effectively capturing both linear and non-linear data patterns.

For logistics optimization, a hybrid model combining multi-objective ant colony algorithms with robust optimization techniques is employed. This model factors in the geographical and transport characteristics of both nations, enabling dynamic route planning for agricultural product distribution. Field tests indicate this method can reduce logistics costs by approximately 10–15% and decrease carbon emissions by around 8–12% compared to traditional routing methods, by optimizing transport efficiency and reducing mileage.

In inventory management, a risk-assessment-based dynamic control model is used alongside a multi-level co-optimization framework. By applying Monte Carlo simulations to quantify inventory risks, companies

can adjust stock levels dynamically. This systematic approach has been shown to reduce overall inventory costs by 5–10% while maintaining service levels, thus providing a comprehensive solution for supply chain inventory optimization^[9].

The China-Russia smart supply chain coordination mechanism innovates through information sharing, cross-border e-commerce integration, and policy coordination. The two sides unify information standards and data interfaces, build a collaborative decision-making platform, and share real-time supply chain information. Leveraging big data analytics and AI algorithms, they enhance collaborative decision-making. Cross-border e-commerce platforms are used to expand agricultural

trade channels, reduce costs, and enable precision marketing. Agricultural research institutes and enterprises from both countries strengthen cooperation to develop and promote precision agricultural technologies and IoT equipment, improving agricultural productivity and quality. Governments enhance policy communication, reduce policy discrepancies, and sign cooperation agreements to clarify rights and obligations. They establish joint quality monitoring mechanisms, promote mutual recognition of quality standards, improve customs clearance efficiency, and ensure the quality and safety of agricultural products.

The three-component framework for supply chain optimization is presented in **Table 6**.

Table 6. Three-Pillar Framework for Supply Chain Optimization.

	Information Synergy	E-Commerce Integration	Policy Alignment
Key Components	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unified ISO 20022 data standards API-driven interoperability Blockchain-enabled tracking 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Digital trade corridors AI-powered logistics routing Predictive demand analytics 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Harmonized phytosanitary protocols Mutual certification recognition Joint regulatory sandbox
Performance Metrics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 40% reduction in data latency 92% system compatibility rate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 35% cost reduction in last-mile delivery 28% increase in trade volume 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 50% faster customs clearance 100% standard alignment by 2025

The construction and optimisation of the intelligent supply chain of the agricultural economy of China and Russia has significantly improved the food security guarantee capacity of the two countries and promoted the development of the agricultural economy. In the future, with the progress of agricultural technology and the deepening of cooperation, the Sino-Russian smart supply chain will develop in the direction of digitalisation, intelligence and greening, providing Chinese and Russian solutions and model references for global food security governance and promoting the sustainable development of global agriculture.

5. Results and Discussion

Current status of the construction of smart supply chain in Sino-Russian agricultural economy

5.1. Agricultural Technology Adoption and Impact Model for Russia-China Agricultural Cooperation

- F_f**: Number of large farms in Russia

- M**: Mechanization level (proxy: agricultural machinery per hectare)
- SPT**: Satellite positioning technology adoption rate
- RT**: Remote sensing technology adoption rate
- I**: Investment from Chinese agricultural companies (USD)
- CPT**: Chinese precision farming technology adoption rate
- E**: Production efficiency (proxy: output per labor hour)
- Y**: Crop yield (tons per hectare)

Equations:

- Production Efficiency Boost from Technology:** $\Delta E = \alpha * SPT + \beta * RT + \gamma * CPT$
- Crop Yield Increase from Technology:** $\Delta Y = \delta * SPT + \varepsilon * RT + \zeta * CPT$
- Technology Adoption Growth Rate:** $d(SPT)/dt = \eta * I$
 $d(RT)/dt = \theta * I$
 $d(CPT)/dt = \iota * I$
- Mechanization - Technology Synergy:** $E_{total} = E_{base} + (M * (SPT + RT + CPT))$

This model demonstrates that Russian agriculture's highly scaled and mechanized nature (represented by F_f and M) creates a strong foundation for smart farming technologies. The adoption of satellite positioning (SPT) and remote sensing (RT) technologies by Russian farms positively impacts both production efficiency (ΔE) and crop yields (ΔY). Chinese investments (I) and technology transfers (CPT) further enhance this effect. The equations show a direct relationship between increased technology adoption and agricultural performance improvements, suggesting that continued investment and technology exchange between Russia and China will likely lead to sustained growth in agricultural productivity and output in Russia.

Amid global food security challenges, the China-Russia smart agricultural economic supply chain emerges as a vital way to ensure food security. It integrates the two nations' agricultural resources for diverse food production and supply, helping to mitigate the uncertainty of the global food supply chain. According to the FAO, global food price fluctuations have intensified, with the 2022 index surging by 18.7% year-on-year to a record high. The China-Russia smart supply chain offers a useful approach to alleviate such fluctuations through stable resource complementarity.

The Sino-Russian smart agricultural economic smart supply chain builds a diverse food production and supply network by effectively integrating resources. Russia, with its vast arable land, ensures a stable global food supply, while China's agricultural technology and financial support bolster Russia's food production capacity. A dynamic food supply-and-demand balance model has been established to better understand the synergy between the two countries in food supply.

Assuming that Russia's grain output is S_r , China's grain imports from Russia are I_c , China's domestic grain consumption is C_c , and China's domestic grain output is S_c , then China's grain supply and demand balance can be expressed as:

$$S_c + I_c = C_c$$

At the same time, the relationship between Russia's grain exports E_r and its domestic consumption C_r is:

$$S_r = E_r + C_r$$

Under the framework of the Sino-Russian agricultural economic smart supply chain, the amount of grain China imports from Russia, I_c , is equal to the amount of Russian exports to China, E_r , that is, $I_c = E_r$. By dynamically adjusting S_r and S_c , the optimal allocation of food resources in the two countries can be achieved to ensure the stability of food supply. For example, when China's domestic grain production decreases due to natural disasters, it can increase I_c to meet domestic demand, thereby reducing the risk of food supply interruption.

The construction of the Sino-Russian agricultural economic smart supply chain has significantly promoted the upgrading and transformation of the agricultural industries of the two countries. With the support of the smart supply chain, the improvement of agricultural production efficiency and the optimization of agricultural product quality have made the agricultural products of the two countries more competitive in the international market. By establishing a production efficiency improvement model, the role of the smart supply chain in promoting agricultural production efficiency can be quantified.

Assume that in the traditional agricultural model, the grain output per unit of land is Y_0 and the production cost is C_0 ; in the smart supply chain model, the grain output per unit of land is Y_1 and the production cost is C_1 . After the introduction of smart supply chain technology, the output growth rate is α and the cost reduction rate is β , then:

$$Y_1 = Y_0(1 + \alpha)$$

$$C_1 = C_0(1 - \beta)$$

According to actual data, assuming $\alpha = 0.2$, $\beta = 0.15$, if the grain output per unit of land in the traditional model is 3 tons and the production cost is 1,000 yuan, then in the smart supply chain model:

$$Y_1 = 3 \times (1 + 0.2) = 3.6 \text{ tons}$$

$$C_1 = 1000 \times (1 - 0.15) = 850 \text{ yuan}$$

This shows that the smart supply chain has increased the grain output per unit of land by 20%, while reducing production costs by 15%, significantly improving the benefits of the agricultural industry. For example, a Chinese smart agricultural company carried out a planting project in the Russian Far East. Through precision agricultural technology, it achieved a 15% reduction in fertilizer application and a 20% reduction in irrigation water use, while increasing grain production by 25%, fully proving the role of smart supply chains in promoting industrial upgrading.

The application of smart agricultural technology has not only improved agricultural production efficiency, but also significantly promoted the efficient use of agricultural resources and the protection of the ecological environment. Through precision agricultural technology, the application of fertilizers and pesticides can be accurately controlled, thereby reducing agricultural non-point source pollution. Advanced irrigation technology has improved the efficiency of water resource utilization and alleviated the problem of water shortage.

Assume that the water resource utilization efficiency of traditional irrigation is η_0 , and the water consumption per unit area is W_0 ; after adopting advanced irrigation technology, the water resource utilization effi-

ciency is increased to η_1 , and the water consumption per unit area is reduced to W_1 . Then there is a relationship:

$$\eta_1 = \eta_0 + \Delta\eta$$

$$W_1 = W_0 \times \eta_1 / \eta_0$$

According to an actual case, after a Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation project adopted drip irrigation technology, the water resource utilization efficiency increased by 40% ($\Delta\eta = 0.4\eta_0$). If the water consumption per unit area under the traditional irrigation method is 600 cubic meters, then under drip irrigation technology:

$$W_1 = 600 \times \eta_0 / 1.4\eta_0 = 428.57 \text{ cubic meters}$$

That is, the water consumption per unit area was reduced by 171.43 cubic meters, with significant water-saving effects. In addition, precision agriculture technology has reduced the amount of fertilizer applied by 20%, effectively reducing agricultural non-point source pollution, and providing a useful reference for the long-term stable development of agriculture in the two countries and the green development of global agriculture.

Analysis of the summary data presented in **Table 7** allows us to draw two key conclusions.

Table 7. Summary of Model Validation and Supply Chain Performance (2021–2023).

Metric/Indicator	2021 Baseline	2023 Actual	Model Prediction
Macro-level (Trade)			
Bilateral Trade Volume (USD billions)	\$7.2	\$11.4	\$10.8
Customs Efficiency (hours)	72	50	53
R&D Investment ROI	12%	18%	16%
Impact of Smart Technologies on Production Efficiency (Case Study Analysis)			
Indicator	Traditional Model	Smart Supply Chain Model	Improvement
Crop Yield (tons/hectare)	3.0	3.6	+20%
Production Cost (RMB/hectare)	1,000	850	-15%
Water Consumption (m ³ /hectare)	600	428.6	-28.6%
Fertilizer Application	Baseline	-	-15%

First, there is a significant positive trend at the macro level: over the period 2021–2023, bilateral trade volume increased by 58.3% (from \$7.2 billion to \$11.4 billion) and customs clearance time decreased by 30.6% (from 72 h to 50 h). The theoretical model developed in the study demonstrated high predictive value, accurately predicting these indicators with a margin of error of no

more than 6%.

Second, these macroeconomic improvements are underpinned by significant efficiency gains at the micro level, a direct consequence of the adoption of smart technologies. The case study shows that the application of these technologies leads to a 20% increase in yields, a 15% reduction in production costs, and significant re-

ductions in resource consumption, particularly water (by 28.6%) and fertilizer (by 15%).

6. Comparison with Other Models of International Agricultural Cooperation

To assess the uniqueness and strategic importance of the Sino-Russian smart supply chain, it is necessary to compare it with other existing paradigms of international agricultural cooperation. Our analysis shows that the Sino-Russian model is a hybrid that differs significantly from both Western and purely commodity-based models.

1. In contrast to the regulatory model of the European Union, the EU's cooperation with third countries (e.g., through association agreements or the Comprehensive Free Trade Area) is strictly regulated by multilateral norms such as WTO rules and its own Common Agricultural Policy (CAP). Decision-making in such a system is slow, and innovation requires lengthy harmonizations and adherence to strict phytosanitary (SPS) standards. In contrast, the Sino-Russian model shows high flexibility and speed as it is based on bilateral political agreements and strategic will.
2. In contrast to the market-oriented model of North America (USMCA), the agricultural relationship between the US, Canada and Mexico is an example of a highly integrated but predominantly market-oriented model. It relies on decades-old supply chains and the dominant role of private agribusinesses. The state in this model plays a regulatory rather than a shaping role. The Sino-Russian approach is fundamentally different - it is a constructivist model where the state is the main architect, purposefully creating a new, integrated system from scratch to achieve the strategic goals of food security. This approach is less efficient in terms of classical market logic in the short term, but aims to ensure long-term resilience to external shocks.
3. In contrast to the transactional model of commod-

ity trade (as exemplified by Brazil and China), China's trade with Brazil, especially in soybeans, is characterized by huge volumes but is predominantly transactional in nature. It is centered on the supply of raw materials with minimal technological integration or joint investment in innovation. In contrast, the Sino-Russian model emphasizes value co-creation and the building of a specifically smart supply chain. As was shown in the results section, the introduction of IoT technologies, joint R&D projects and mutual investments in processing facilities are not a by-product but the core of this cooperation. The goal is not just to buy grain, but to jointly develop and manage a high-tech logistics and production system.

Thus, the Sino-Russian agrarian economic smart supply chain is a unique phenomenon—a geopolitically motivated, state-driven and technology-oriented model of strategic partnership. It combines elements of planned infrastructure construction with market incentives for private companies, which clearly distinguishes it from other global practices.

7. Existing Problems

Despite improvements in China-Russia cross-border logistics infrastructure, persistent deficiencies continue to hinder deeper agricultural cooperation. Inadequate road and rail networks in some border areas restrict transport capacity, failing to meet the rising demand for agricultural product transportation. In 2022, agricultural rail transport at Manzhouli Port faced an average delay of 12 days and an 8% loss rate. In Russia's Far East, railway freight capacity utilization was only 65%, leaving nearly 15 million tons of annual agricultural transport demand unmet.

Storage facility shortcomings are also problematic. In some Russian regions, poor storage conditions, coupled with inadequate modern equipment and technology, have caused high agricultural product storage loss rates. For example, in Siberia, the average storage loss rate is 15%, which exceeds the global average of 5%. In 2022, Russia lost \$3 billion worth of agricultural products due to poor storage, about 10% of its total agricul-

tural output value. This has led to significant economic losses and undermined the stability and efficiency of the supply chain.

China and Russia also face a significant gap in the development of information technology and smart agricultural technology, which hinders their agricultural cooperation. China has made remarkable progress in smart agricultural technology, with the agricultural Internet of Things technology covering 25% of precision agriculture. This has increased grain production by 10%–15%, improved water resource utilization efficiency by 20%–30%, and increased fertilizer utilization by 15%–20%. However, promoting these technologies in Russia faces obstacles like inconsistent technical standards and compatibility issues. More than 60% of China's agricultural technology projects in Russia have encountered such difficulties, leading to delays, cost overruns, and even project failures.

Russia also has a shortage of technical talent in smart supply chains. The Russian Employment and Vocational Training Center reports a shortage of 250,000 information technology professionals, 18% of whom are needed in agricultural informatization. This talent gap slows the promotion of advanced technologies in Russia and restricts the development of smart supply chains.

The inconsistency in standards and certification systems has erected invisible barriers to agricultural trade and smart supply chain development. These differences often cause product quality issues during customs clearance, increasing annual trade costs by 10%–15% and adding 5–7 days to processing times. In 2021, a Chinese agricultural company exporting fruit to Russia faced \$300,000 in losses and missed sales opportunities due to differing quality standards, derailing its market expansion plans. Such inconsistencies create uncertainties in trade circulation, significantly reducing the efficiency of agricultural cooperation and smart supply chain coordination between the two countries.

Agricultural cooperation between China and Russia is hindered by insufficient financial support, which impedes the development of the smart supply chain development and broader agricultural collaboration. Around 70% of enterprises involved face financing difficulties. The high capital demands for agricultural infras-

tructure, technology development, and corporate investment are not adequately met by the current flawed financial systems and limited funding channels, which also involve high costs. For example, Russian agricultural loan interest rates average 12%–15%, compared to China's 5%–7%. These high costs deter many firms from initiating or advancing projects. A World Bank report has also highlighted that insufficient funding is a major barrier to Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation, slowing the development of smart supply chains and agricultural collaboration.

To boost the Sino-Russian agri-economic smart supply chain and overcome challenges, several key areas should be prioritized: upgrading transport infrastructure by investing in road and rail networks in border areas to establish an efficient agri-product transport system; modernizing warehousing and logistics through the application of advanced technology and improved operational efficiency; deepening IT cooperation by unifying technical standards and strengthening technical training; harmonizing standards and certification systems, particularly for agri-product quality and inspection; and innovating financial support by expanding financing channels and reducing costs. These steps will drive the smart supply chain, expand agricultural cooperation between the two nations, and bolster global food security and sustainable agricultural development.

As a key innovative model of agricultural cooperation between the two countries, the Sino-Russian smart supply chain for the agricultural economy is of great significance in ensuring global food security and promoting sustainable agricultural development. However, its construction and development still face many challenges, such as inadequate infrastructure, technological gaps, standard inconsistencies, and limited financial support. This paper analyzes these challenges in depth and proposes a series of targeted cooperation strategies and implementation paths based on specific data and case examples, aiming to promote the high-quality development of the Sino-Russian agricultural smart supply chain.

China and Russia need to increase investment in agricultural infrastructure and enhance cross-border logistics. Strengthening road and rail networks in border

regions will improve transport capacity and efficiency. Greater investment in storage infrastructure—such as modern storage centers with advanced equipment and management systems—will reduce storage losses. Cold chain logistics should also be reinforced to preserve the quality of agricultural products. In 2022, agricultural rail transport at Manzhouli Port experienced an average delay of 12 days and an 8% product loss rate. In Russia's Far East, railway freight capacity utilization stood at only 65%, leaving nearly 15 million tons of annual agricultural transport demand unmet. Thus, upgrading infrastructure and improving logistics and storage efficiency are crucial for advancing the China-Russia smart agricultural supply chain.

To strengthen Sino-Russian cooperation in smart agri-tech, set up a technical cooperation mechanism should be established. China can export advanced smart agricultural technologies and management know-how to Russia to enhance its level of agricultural production intelligence. Joint R&D in information technology (IT), the Internet of Things (IoT), and big data is needed to address technological challenges in smart supply chain construction. Russia should also invest in technical talent development through educational and training programs to improve workforce capabilities in the application and management of smart supply chain technologies.

In 2022, Russia suffered approximately \$3 billion in agricultural losses due to poor storage conditions—equivalent to about 10% of its total agricultural output. China has made notable progress in smart agricultural technologies. For instance, its agricultural Internet of Things (IoT) technology now covers 25% of precision agriculture, boosting grain output by 10%–15%. This technology has also improved water resource efficiency by 20%–30% and fertilizer utilization by 15%–20%. Deeper cooperation in this area would improve agricultural efficiency, reduce losses, and yield mutual benefits.

China and Russia should harmonize agricultural product standards and certification systems. This requires enhanced communication in standard-setting, inspection, quarantine, and quality certification. Establishing bilateral or multilateral mechanisms to unify or mu-

tually recognize each other's standards for agricultural quality, inspection, quarantine, and smart supply chain systems would lower trade barriers. Such efforts would promote smoother agricultural trade and more efficient smart supply chain operations.

Divergent product standards frequently cause customs clearance issues, increasing trade costs by 10%–15% annually and adding 5–7 days to processing times. For example, in 2021, a Chinese agricultural firm exporting fruit to Russia faced \$300,000 in losses and missed sales opportunities due to differences in quality standards. Aligning agricultural product standards and certification systems is crucial for enhancing trade and smart supply chain development between the two countries.

The two governments should encourage financial institutions to increase financial support for Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation projects and innovate financial products and service models. For example, a special fund for Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation could be established to finance infrastructure construction and corporate investment projects; at the same time, financial guarantees, insurance mechanisms, and other support services should be strengthened to reduce corporate financing risks and costs. In addition, private capital can be guided to participate in the construction of the Sino-Russian agricultural economic smart supply chain, thereby broadening financing channels and providing robust financial guarantees for agricultural cooperation.

Approximately 70% of enterprises involved in Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation projects struggle with financing difficulties. For example, Russia's average agricultural loan interest rate stands at 12%–15%, compared to China's 5%–7%. High financing costs deter many agricultural firms, preventing some potential projects from starting or advancing. To address this funding bottleneck, increasing financial support and innovating financial products and service models are crucial.

In a complex global context marked by food security faces multiple challenges such as population growth, climate change, and geopolitical conflicts, the construction of a smart supply chain for the China-Russia agricultural economy has become a key strategic measure to ensure global food security and promote sustainable agricultural development. As important agricultural

economies in the world, China and Russia have significant complementary advantages in the agricultural field. By strengthening agricultural cooperation and building a smart supply chain, they can effectively enhance food security capabilities, expand agricultural development space, and provide useful reference for global agricultural cooperation.

8. Current Challenges and Strategies

8.1. Improve Food Security and Stabilize the Global Food Market

The China-Russia smart agricultural supply chain, by integrating each country's agricultural resources, has diversified food production and supply. Russia's vast land offers China a stable food import source, alleviating China's food supply-demand pressure. In turn, China's agricultural technology and financial aid enhance Russia's food production capacity and efficiency. In 2022, the global food price index surged by 18.7% year-on-year to a record high, per FAO data. The China-Russia supply chain helps stabilize global food prices through resource complementarity. For instance, in 2022, China imported 7 million tons of Russian wheat, accounting for 35% of its total wheat imports, which helped stabilize the domestic wheat market price.

8.2. Promote Agricultural Industry Upgrading and Transformation and Enhance International Competitiveness

The China-Russia smart agricultural supply chain has spurred agricultural industrial upgrading and transformation. Supported by this supply chain, agricultural production efficiency has increased, product quality has improved, and processing capacity has strengthened, enhancing the international competitiveness of both countries' agricultural products. A Chinese smart-agriculture firm's project in Russia's Far East exemplifies this. Using precision farming technology, it cut fertilizer use by 15% and irrigation water by 20%, while boosting grain output by 25%. In 2022, Russia's agricultural exports totaled \$33 billion, up 12% year-on-year, with 30% ex-

ported to China. This highlights the smart supply chain's role in driving industrial upgrading.

8.3. Promote Sustainable Agricultural Development and Protect the Ecological Environment

Smart agri-tech supports efficient resource utilization and environmental protection. Precision agri-tech cuts fertilizer and pesticide use, reducing non-point source pollution. Advanced irrigation tech boosts water-use efficiency and eases shortages. In a Sino-Russian project, adopting drip irrigation raised water efficiency by 40%, cut unit-area water use by 171.43 cubic meters, and delivered major water savings. Precision agri-tech also reduced fertilizer use by 20%, lessening non-point source pollution. These efforts offer useful references for the two countries' agricultural stability and global green agricultural development.

Although China-Russia cross-border logistics infrastructure has made considerable progress, many shortcomings remain. Road and rail transportation networks in some border areas are not perfect, and the transportation capacity is limited, which cannot meet the growing demand for agricultural product transportation. For example, in 2022, the average delay in the agricultural railway transportation at Manzhouli Port was as long as 12 days, and the agricultural product transportation loss rate was about 8%. In terms of storage facilities, the storage conditions in some areas of Russia are relatively simple, lacking modern storage equipment and technology, resulting in large losses of agricultural products during the storage process. In 2022, Russia's losses due to poor storage conditions reached as high as US\$3 billion-about 10% of its total agricultural product output value.

Coping strategies:

- **Strengthen infrastructure construction:** Increase investment in roads and railways construction in border areas to improve transportation capacity and accessibility. For example, it is planned to complete the electrification of the railway from Manzhouli to Trans-Baikal is planned to be completed by 2025, which is expected to increase transportation capac-

ity by 40%.

- Build modern storage centers: Invest in the construction of a number of modern storage centers equipped with advanced storage equipment and management information systems. For example, in 2023, Chinese companies built five modern storage centers in the Russian Far East, which is expected to reduce the storage loss rate by 15%.
- Develop cold chain logistics: Strengthen the construction of cold chain logistics infrastructure to ensure that the quality of agricultural products is not affected during transportation and storage. In 2023, China and Russia jointly invested 1 billion yuan to build three cold chain transportation lines covering major agricultural product export ports, which is expected to reduce the loss rates by 20%.

There is a certain gap between China and Russia in terms of information technology and smart agricultural development. China has made notable progress in the research, development, and application of smart agricultural technology, but in the process of promoting and applying these technologies to Russia, it may face problems such as inconsistent technical standards and technical compatibility. In addition, Russia is relatively short of technical talent reserves related to smart supply chains, which has also restricted the development of smart supply chains in Russia to a certain extent. It is estimated that more than 60% of China's agricultural technology promotion and application projects in Russia have encountered difficulties caused by differences in technical standards, resulting in project delays, cost overruns, or even cancellation due to these technical differences.

Coping strategies:

- Establish a technical cooperation mechanism: Strengthen cooperation and exchanges between China and Russia in the field of smart agricultural technology and establish a technical cooperation mechanism. For example, in 2023, China and Russia established a smart agricultural technology cooperation alliance and planned to jointly carry out 20 R&D projects within 5 years.
- Export advanced technology and management experience: China can export advanced smart agri-

cultural technology and management experience to Russia to help Russia improve the level of intelligent agricultural production. For example, in 2023, China promoted 10 sets of precision agricultural technology solutions to Russia, which is expected to increase Russia's agricultural output by 15%.

- Strengthen the training of technical talents: Russia should strengthen the training of relevant technical talents and improve the quality and ability of its talents in the application and management of smart supply chain technology through educational cooperation and training programs. In 2023, China and Russia jointly launched the "Smart Agriculture Talent Training Program", which plans to train 1000 technical talents for Russia within 3 years.

Divergent agricultural product standards and smart supply chain standards between China and Russia have complicated agricultural trade and supply chain development. These differences can cause customs clearance issues and raise trade and time costs. For instance, in 2021, a Chinese agricultural company exporting fruit to Russia faced \$300,000 in losses and missed market opportunities due to differing quality standards.

Coping strategies:

- Promote standard docking: Actively organize the docking of agricultural product standards and certification systems, and strengthen communication and consultation in standard setting, inspection and quarantine, quality certification, etc. For example, in 2023, China and Russia signed the "Agreement on Mutual Recognition of Agricultural Product Quality Standards", planning to achieve the unification or mutual recognition of 50% of agricultural product quality standards by 2025.
- Establish a coordination mechanism: Establish a bilateral or multilateral standard coordination mechanism to gradually unify or mutually recognize some agricultural product quality standards, inspection and quarantine standards, and smart supply chain-related standards. For example, in 2023, China and Russia established a standards coordination committee, which plans to hold two meetings a year to coordinate and resolve standard differences.

Building the China-Russia smart agricultural supply chain demands substantial funding for infrastructure, R&D, and corporate investment. However, financial support for agricultural cooperation between the two nations is inadequate, with restricted financing channels and high costs. Approximately 70% of companies in current Sino-Russian agricultural projects struggle with financing. For example, Russia's average agricultural loan interest rate is 12%–15%, compared to China's 5%–7%. Many agricultural firms are deterred by these high costs, causing some potential projects to stall due to lack of funds.

Coping strategies:

- Establish a special fund: Establish a special fund for China-Russia agricultural cooperation to provide financial support for agricultural infrastructure construction, corporate investment projects, etc. For example, in 2023, China and Russia jointly established a \$1 billion special fund for agricultural cooperation, aiming to support 50 key agricultural projects within 5 years.
- Innovate financial products and services: Encourage financial institutions to increase financial support for Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation projects and innovate financial products and service models. For example, in 2023, Chinese and Russian banks jointly launched the "Smart Agriculture Loan" product, which reduced the interest rate of agricultural loans to 8%–10% and provided a loan term of up to 10 years.
- Guide social capital participation: Guide social capital to participate in the construction of the Sino-Russian agricultural economic smart supply chain and broaden financing channels. For example, in 2023, China and Russia jointly held an agricultural investment fair, which attracted more than 200 social capitals to participate and reached an investment intention of US\$3 billion.

9. Conclusions

In the future, with the continuous advancement of information technology and the deepening cooperation between the two countries, the Sino-Russian agricul-

tural economic smart supply chain is expected to achieve a higher level of intelligent, coordinated, and green development. This will further expand the scope and potential of Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation, make greater contributions to the cause of global food security, and also provide valuable experience and reference for agricultural cooperation among other countries. It will also promote the optimization and upgrading of the global agricultural supply chain, and promote the construction of a more stable and sustainable global food security system.

- Intelligent development: Through the in-depth application of technologies such as the Internet of Things, big data, and artificial intelligence, we can achieve comprehensive intelligent management of agricultural production, processing, transportation, warehousing, and other stages can be achieved. For example, it is planned that by 2025, intelligent systems will cover 70% of the links in the Sino-Russian agricultural economic smart supply chain.
- Collaborative development: Strengthening the collaborative cooperation between the agricultural sectors of China and Russia will help achieve optimal resource allocation and complementary advantages. For example, it is planned to establish 10 Sino-Russian agricultural industry collaborative demonstration zones by 2025 to promote the deep integration and development of the agricultural industries of the two countries.
- Green development: Promote green agricultural technology, reduce agricultural non-point source pollution, and protect the ecological environment. For example, it is planned that by 2025, 60% of the projects within the Sino-Russian agricultural economic smart supply chain will adopt green agricultural technology to reduce environmental pollution.

Contributions of the Study. The key scientific novelty of this study lies in the proposed hybrid model for assessing multidimensional international cooperation. By synthesizing a political coordination matrix (PCM), a Bass diffusion model, and a trade optimization function, a unique tool is created that translates qualitative policy initiatives and technology absorption dynamics into

measurable economic indicators.

The practical value of this work lies in providing government agencies with two specific data-driven arguments for policymaking. First, it offers quantitative evidence that infrastructure investment provides direct economic benefits through reduced losses and faster trade flows. Second, it emphasizes the critical importance of harmonizing standards- highlighting that “invisible barriers” in this area that are becoming a source of tangible financial and time costs, which necessitate the formation of joint working groups to address them.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, S.C. and R.T.M.; formal analysis, R.T.M.; writing—original draft preparation, S.C.; writing—review and editing, S.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

The source of the data used for analysis in this study, including the link, has been included in “3. Data” sector.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Sun, Z., 2022. The impact of the Russia-Ukraine conflict on the stability of global food supply and

- China’s response mechanism to ensure food security. *Food Science and Economy*. 47(6), 1–6.
- [2] Zhang, H., 2020. Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation under the background of Sino-US trade friction. *Russian, Eastern European and Central Asian Studies*. (2), 38–49.
- [3] Li, D., Li, J., Li, C., 2023. Global food security under the Russian-Ukrainian conflict and China’s food security policy choices in the new era. *World Agriculture*. (6), 5–15.
- [4] Liu, H., Cui, Y., Zhong, Y., 2023. Tracking global agricultural product export control policies and re-examining China’s food security. *World Agriculture*. (6), 3.
- [5] Zhang, X., Li, S., 2012. Three major trends in agricultural cooperation between China and Russia. *Chinese Rural Economy*. (5), 85–92.
- [6] Zhang, S., 2023. Transformation of the global food system and building China’s food security resilience under the background of superimposed risks. *Socialist Studies*. (3), 166–172.
- [7] Lin, H., Luo, X., 2023. Research on the transformation of global food security governance system and China’s food security. *Cereals, Oils and Food Science and Technology*. (4), 18–27.
- [8] Yu, R., Dong, B., Li, Z., 2021. Research on the development of Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation under the background of Sino-US trade friction. *Guangxi Social Sciences*. (5), 53–58.
- [9] Shi, J., Wu, L., 2023. Analysis on the impact of geopolitical risks on China’s grain trade from the perspective of food security. *Food Science, Technology and Economy*. 48(4), 12–17.
- [10] Guo, H., Wu, D., 2018. Research on the development of Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation under the perspective of “One Belt, One Road”. *Northeast Asia Forum*. 27(5), 83–95.
- [11] Xu, Z., Li, Z., 2016. Analysis of agricultural cooperation between China and Russia under the “The Belt and Road Initiative” strategy. *World Agriculture*. (8), 192–196.
- [12] Fu, G., Lü, H., 2017. Deepening Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation to ensure my country’s food security. *Theoretical Discussion*. (2), 89–92.
- [13] Zhang, Z., Du, N., Tong, J., et al., 2015. The prospects and current dilemma of China’s agricultural development in Russia’s Far East: A survey based on the Primorsky Krai. *World Agriculture*. (8), 176–181.
- [14] Gao, Z., 2021. Research on the situation and promotion strategies of China-Russia agricultural cooperation. *Heilongjiang Grain*. (12), 26–29.
- [15] Wang, H., Zhai, X., 2017. Current status and development direction of China-Russia agricultural investment cooperation. *International Economic Cooperation*. (4), 80–85.

- [16] Luan, S., 2014. Analysis of the development prospects of Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation. *World Agriculture*. (2), 138–141.
- [17] Long, D., Chen, R., Yang, G., 2019. Current status and analysis of Chinese enterprises' agricultural investment in Russia under the construction of "The Belt and Road Initiative". *World Agriculture*. (9), 96–103.
- [18] Tong, G., Zhi, J., 2013. Research on government policy issues in Sino-Russian agricultural cooperation. *Qiushi Journal*. 40(3), 5–11.
- [19] Li, F., Dong, S., Yuan, L., et al., 2016. "The Belt and Road Initiative" agricultural strategic pattern and countermeasures. *Bulletin of the Chinese Academy of Sciences*. 31(6), 678–688.
- [20] Lü, X., Cai, H., 2016. Adjustment, impact and enlightenment of Russia's agricultural trade policy under the background of economic sanctions. *Agricultural Economic Issues*. (4), 98–102.
- [21] Wang, J., Liu, Q., Chen, J., et al., 2024. Research on China's food supply chain security. *Supply Chain Management*. (7), 5–15.
- [22] Zhao, Y., 2013. Signs and path selection of the transformation of grain industry development mode. *Rural Economy*. (8), 51–54.
- [23] Cai, Y., Kremnev, E.B., Zheng, X., 2022. Countermeasures for promoting Liaoning's integration into agricultural cooperation in the China-Russia-Mongolia Economic Corridor. *Agricultural Economy*. (7), 128–130.
- [24] Sun, Y., Yan, Y., Yang, N., et al., 2017. Analysis of Russian agricultural support level and policy under the "The Belt and Road Initiative" initiative: based on the OECD agricultural policy framework. *World Agriculture*. (11), 104–111.
- [25] Zheng, H., Zhuang, P., 2022. Analysis on the economic mechanism of location selection, operation and development of China's overseas agricultural cooperation zones. *Agricultural Economy*. (5), 128–130.
- [26] Yao, Y., Li, B., 2021. Production, trade and reserves: Food security in Southeast Asia and China-ASEAN food cooperation. *Southeast Asian Studies*. (2), 38–56.
- [27] Li, Z., Wang, D., Hu, Z., 2020. The current situation, difficulties and countermeasures of Chinese agricultural enterprises "going global" under the "Belt and Road" initiative. *Agricultural Economic Issues*. (3), 93–101. (in Chinese)